



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A ITORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,406	01/11/2002	Travis Parry	10007328-1	8921	
7590 03/27/2006		EXAMINER			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			GARCIA, GABRIEL I		
Intellectual Prop	erty Administration				
P.O. Box 272400			APT UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400		2 725		
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

w-20	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Advisory Action	10/044,406	PARRY, TRAVIS				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gabriel I. Garcia	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo e of the final rejection.	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 						
appeal; and/or	tion for appear by materially re	duding of ouripulying	110 100000 101			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. To rpurposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubcause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N Habriel Halinel Primary	Harcia Harca Examiner				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It relies on the features not being entered. Examiner maintains that prior art of record teaches the claimed invention as recited by the pending claims. Applicant's arguments are not found to be persuasive.